P.R. No. 22/12/06
Presented by Shri Appl. Tripathi
dated 21/12/06
(2)

+ (04)

CF-1002

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.) Single Bench

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 62 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 ~~/227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI / PROHIBITION ETC. IN FORM OF ORDER / ORDERS, WRIT / WRIT(S), DIRECTION / DIRECTION(S) ETC.

PETITIONER 1 Payarelal Ahirwar , aged about 32 years, son of Shri Bharosi Lal Ahirwar, R/o I.T.I Colony Qtr. No. I-Type-V, High School Road, Gidam Dist: South Bastar, Dantewada (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Union of India through – the Secretary, Karmik Lok Shikayat Thata Pension Manteralaya Karmik Aur Prashikshan Vibhag, New Delhi.
2. The State of Chhattisgarh through – the Secretary, Agricultural Department D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Deputy Director Agricultural Dist: Dantewada (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
21/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-20
19/12/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

01 **PARTICULARS ABOUT THE PETITIONER**

(23)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.2 OF 2007

Petitioner : Payarelal Ahirwar

versus

Respondents : The Union of India and others

Present : Mr. Anil Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner.
Mrs. B. Maity, counsel for respondent No.1.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.2 & 3.

ORDER
(Passed on 15th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working on the post of Village Agriculture Development Officer at Gidam, District Dantewada. Pursuant to his transfer from the State of Chhattisgarh to the State of Madhya Pradesh, the petitioner has made several representations, as stated in his application dated 21-2-2006 (Annexure P-VI), to the Director, Agriculture Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur. No order has been passed on the representations of the petitioner.

2. The petitioner, in this petition, confines his prayer to a direction to the concerned authority to consider and pass an

(24)

appropriate order on his representations, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.

3. The petition is accordingly disposed of and the concerned authority, i.e., the Director, Agriculture Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur is directed to look into the representations of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law on their own merit within a period of six weeks from the receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal